https://doi.org/10.55843/ivisum2510159y

CITIZEN SCIENCE AND WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT: DIGITAL INTEGRATION AND DATA QUALITY

Baris Yilmaz, page 59-74

ABSTRACT

This study examines the impact of citizen science (CS) projects on water resource management and environmental monitoring. The integration of digital technologies enhances community-based data collection processes for water quality monitoring, pollution detection, and ecosystem health. By providing examples from European Union-funded projects, the study demonstrates how digital tools, and mobile applications enable large-scale monitoring of environmental changes through extensive public participation. The reliability of CS data poses significant challenges in terms of scientific accuracy, yet the incorporation of machine learning and remote sensing technologies enhances data credibility. Furthermore, the study discusses the sustainability of citizen participation, motivation, and long-term engagement in these projects. The role of citizen science in environmental decision-making processes and policy development is emphasized. The paper suggests that for CS projects to be more effective, standardization of data collection methodologies, improvement of digital infrastructure, and overcoming legal and ethical barriers are necessary.

Keywords: Citizen Science, Water Resource Management, Digital Technologies, Data Quality, Environmental Monitoring, Machine Learning, Policy Development

Prof. Dr. Baris Yilmaz
Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Department of Civil Engineering International Vision University, Gostivar - North Macedonia

e-mail: baris.yilmaz @vision.edu.mk

UDK: 502.11:628.1]: [528.8:004.9

Date of received: 21.01.2025

Date of acceptance: 24.02.2025

Declaration of interest: The authors reported no conflict of interest related to this article.

1. Introduction

Citizen science (CS) is an innovative and inclusive approach that enables scientific research to be conducted not only by experts but also through active participation from various segments of society. This approach enhances the transparency, accessibility, and inclusiveness of the scientific process. The democratization of science allows for a broader data collection capacity, fosters evidence-based policymaking, and increases the dissemination of scientific knowledge within society. CS projects encourage individuals to contribute scientifically to environmental, social, and health-related issues, creating mutual benefits for both scientists and the public. As such, CS plays a crucial role in democratizing scientific processes, promoting sustainable development, and improving community-driven decision-making mechanisms (Haklay et al., 2021).

The origins of CS can be traced back to the 19th century when amateur naturalists and astronomers contributed observations. It expanded in the 20th century through institutional projects, and since the 1960s, citizen participation has increased in fields such as environmental monitoring, biodiversity, and climate change (Bonney et al., 2016; Dickinson et al., 2010). However, the digital revolution since the 2000s has significantly transformed CS. The development of digital tools, including mobile applications, sensors, and AI-supported data analysis, has enabled the creation of large-scale, reliable datasets for scientists. Furthermore, the need for accurate and extensive data is a critical factor in ensuring the reliability of scientific analyses in environmental and large-scale research. Investigating climate change, water resource management, air pollution, and biodiversity requires continuous, large-scale, and multi-site data collection. CS is an ideal complement to environmental and climate change studies, as it facilitates the generation of large amounts of data through extensive public participation (Haklay et al., 2021).

Traditional field studies conducted by scientists can often be expensive and time-consuming, whereas citizen science (CS) methodologies offer a more extensive, rapid, and ongoing approach to data collection. Projects like iNaturalist (https://www.inaturalist.org) and eBird (https://ebird.org) have empowered millions of volunteers to contribute to biodiversity and ecosystem monitoring, leading to the establishment of global databases that enhance ecological research and conservation efforts (Bonney et al., 2016). Furthermore, integrating sensor-based monitoring systems and mobile applications into citizen science initiatives allows for more accurate and real-time environmental data collection, significantly improving project efficiency. These advancements not only enrich the data available for scientific analyses but also engage communities in environmental stewardship and awareness.

The European Union (EU) has developed policies to integrate citizen science (CS) methodologies into environmental sustainability strategies, leading to an increase in CS projects focused on sensitive environmental issues, such as water resource management. Citizens participate in EU-funded projects as volunteers, collecting data on water quality monitoring, pollution detection, and ecosystem health in collaboration with scientists and public authorities. Below is a brief summary of the example projects.

FreshWater Watch is a citizen science initiative that allows volunteers to monitor water pollution by measuring nitrate and phosphate levels. Participants collect data on water quality, contributing to environmental research and decision-making (https://www.freshwaterwatch.org/). The EyeOnWater, Citclops, EarthEcho Water Challenge, and Water Watch projects, all supported by various initiatives including the European Union, are key examples of how citizen science contributes to water quality monitoring and environmental stewardship. EyeOnWater (https://www.eyeonwater.org/) enables citizens to assess water quality by capturing images and analyzing the color of water to detect parameters like clarity and algae presence, providing real-time data for environmental monitoring. This project demonstrates how everyday people can gather valuable data to aid in managing local water resources. Citclops empowers citizens to use smartphones and other devices to monitor water quality, measuring parameters like transparency, temperature, and pollutants. By integrating citizen-generated data into official environmental systems, Citclops shows how local participation can enhance larger environmental initiatives (http://www.citclops.eu/). The EarthEcho Water Challenge invites individuals, particularly students, to test local water quality, fostering awareness and encouraging participation in the global effort to manage water resources. The project not only empowers participants to engage in scientific data collection but also contributes to a global database on water quality (https://www.monitorwater.org/). Similarly, Water Watch provides real-time streamflow and water quality data, relying on citizen contributions to track water bodies and promote conservation. With projects like Water Watch, citizen science plays a crucial role in supporting informed decision-making and advancing sustainable water management practices (https://waterwatch.usgs.gov). These initiatives highlight the power of citizen science in gathering crucial data, raising awareness, and involving local communities in environmental monitoring, which ultimately supports global efforts to protect and conserve water resources. Consequently, CS projects have become essential tools for protecting and ensuring the sustainability of water resources.

This study aims to identify the advantages and limitations of citizen science-based projects, providing insights for policymakers, scientists, and civil society organizations. Specifically, it explores the role of citizens in water management, the integration of digital technologies in data collection processes, and the evaluation of data quality from a scientific accuracy perspective. A systematic literature review was conducted to examine the role of citizen science in water resource management, with a particular focus on digital technology integration and data quality validation.

3. Role of Citizens in Water Resources Management

The role of citizens in water resources management has gained increasing recognition over the years, with various strategies highlighting the potential for enhanced governance, data collection, and active involvement in decision-making processes. As articulated by Cleaver and Toner (2006), the active involvement of citizens can lead to improved sustainability and equity in access to water resources. This community-centered approach adds value to water governance by ensuring that the perspectives and experiences of local populations inform policy-making. For instance, participatory budgeting and governance models allow communities to gain decision-making power, fostering a 'strong public' that champions local interests (Sintomer et al., 2008). These frameworks not only empower citizens but also result in more tailored and effective water management policies.

Incorporating citizen science into water monitoring initiatives has also emerged as a pivotal strategy for improving knowledge generation related to water quality and availability. Rutten et al. (2017) emphasize that leveraging citizen participation can enhance water management by filling data gaps and raising awareness of critical issues such as flood risks and pollution. Cases across Europe illustrate that when individuals are equipped with tools and motivation, they can contribute significantly to data collection efforts, leading to better-informed decisions on water resources (Buytaert et al., 2014). This participatory model not only broadens the scope of data available but also instills a sense of stewardship among community members regarding their local water resources.

Despite the widespread recognition of the benefits associated with citizen involvement, there remain significant challenges that can hinder effective participation in water governance. Key issues include a lack of awareness, insufficient training, and the potential for unequal power dynamics among stakeholders. Rutten et al. (2017) highlight a significant "awareness gap," which often leads citizens to dismiss water management as primarily an authority's responsibility. This perception can inhibit active community participation and reduce the overall effectiveness of participatory initiatives.

Moreover, participatory mechanisms can sometimes fail to account for the diverse interests and capacities of community members. As Morinville & Harris (2014) have shown, dominant groups may co-opt decision-making processes, marginalizing less powerful stakeholders and ultimately undermining the goals of equity and sustainability. Additionally, complexities in water governance, often compounded by conflicting interests among various stakeholders, necessitate that management frameworks be adaptable and sensitive to local contexts and dynamics (Carvalho et al., 2023).

To maximize the potential benefits of citizen involvement, policymakers and practitioners must focus on creating inclusive strategies that encourage broad-based participation. This includes fostering educational programs that improve understanding of water issues and the importance of citizen roles. Participatory video and peer-led discussions create platforms for citizens to convey their perspectives, share experiences, and collaborate on community issues, allowing them to actively engage in decision-making processes (Hamamouche et al., 2024; Tremblay & Harris, 2022). Moreover, leveraging technology to engage citizens in monitoring efforts can enhance data collection and increase community ownership over local water resources.

Efforts to balance power among stakeholders are also critical. Governance structures should actively promote equity in participation, ensuring that diverse voices—particularly those of marginalized communities—are included in the dialogue on water management policies (Cleaver & Toner, 2006; Brown, 2010). Building trust among stakeholders through transparent processes can also help in establishing collaborative frameworks that foster meaningful participation in decision-making.

4. Integration of Digital Technologies in Data Collection Processes

The integration of digital technologies into data collection processes has fundamentally transformed the landscape of citizen science, particularly in environmental monitoring. The infusion of technologies such as mobile applications, online platforms, sensor-based monitoring devices, and Geographic Information Systems (GIS) has enabled citizen scientists to produce more accurate, comprehensive, and accessible datasets. These technological interventions not only simplify citizen engagement but also enhance the depth and quality of data available for scientific inquiry and decision-making (Arts et al., 2015; Freiwald et al., 2018; Fraisl et al., 2020).

Mobile applications and online platforms serve as critical tools for citizen participation in environmental data collection. Applications like EyeOnWater exemplify how citizens can directly contribute to scientific efforts by documenting changes in water quality through visual analysis. Watercolor variations can indicate crucial ecological shifts such as algal blooms or pollution events, thus allowing for continuous monitoring of water conditions (Fraisl et al., 2020; English et al., 2017). The potential of citizen involvement is expanded by platforms like the iChange (https://ichange-project.eu) project, which empowers users to calculate their carbon footprints and contribute data on water quality. This initiative not only heightens environmental awareness but encourages sustainable practices among individuals. Additionally, collecting data through these platforms results in extensive datasets that can significantly aid scientific research and policy formulation regarding environmental management (Freiwald et al., 2018; Kaufman et al., 2017).

Sensor-based monitoring technologies are increasingly being adopted in citizen science for their capacity to gather real-time environmental data reliably. These devices can continuously measure parameters such as air and water quality, thereby expanding the scope of traditional environmental monitoring methods (Reece et al., 2018; deSouza et al., 2017). Citizen-friendly portable sensors have emerged that can assess air pollutants such as PM2.5 and volatile organic compounds, as well as water quality metrics like pH and dissolved oxygen. The amalgamation of these sensors with mobile applications fosters centralized data processing capabilities while supporting localized data collection. This capability is illustrated in projects like AirVisual Earth (https://www.iqair.com/) and the Smart Citizen Kit (https://smartcitizen.me/) , which not only provide real-time pollution data but also empower citizens to engage actively in monitoring their environment (Peter et al., 2021). Such initiatives illustrate how citizen-generated data can complement traditional datasets, leading to better-informed environmental management practices.

Moreover, the integration of citizen observations into GIS-based mapping systems significantly amplifies the capacity for large-scale environmental monitoring. By combining local citizen-sourced data with remote sensing information, platforms like FreshWater Watch and the Water Quality Portal can offer a comprehensive view of ecological changes on a broader scale. These collaborative approaches underscore the value of merging citizen science with remote sensing technologies, enabling a robust method for tracking environmental changes, such as shifts in water quality or climate impacts on water resources (Wesseling et al., 2019). Programs like NASA’s GLOBE Observer exemplify how citizen-collected data can be aligned with satellite observations to enhance our understanding of global environmental issues (Duvall et al., 2016). The combined efforts of citizens and technological advancements pave the way for innovative approaches to community-driven environmental monitoring. The evolving citizen science landscape is characterized by its capability to democratize data collection and analysis, allowing more individuals to contribute meaningfully to scientific research. This democratization not only leads to improved environmental data quality but also fosters a culture of collaborative stewardship toward natural resources (Ceccaroni et al., 2020). As citizens engage in scientific practices, their inputs enhance the accuracy and validity of environmental data, making it an invaluable resource for researchers and policymakers alike (Roger et al., 2019).

However, while the benefits of integrating digital technologies in data collection processes are numerous, they also present challenges concerning data integrity and interpretation. Effective citizen engagement requires well-designed systems that acknowledge the limitations of digital tools (Kaufman et al., 2017). Researchers emphasize that a collaborative approach between citizen scientists and professional researchers can ensure that data collected are accurate, contextual, and meaningfully integrated into scientific frameworks. Hence, responsible stewardship of citizen-generated data is essential to maximize its impact on sustainable environmental management and to uphold data validity.

5. Assessment of Data Quality in Terms of Scientific Accuracy

The assessment of data quality in citizen science (CS) is essential, particularly for scientific accuracy, as it directly impacts the reliability and applicability of the data collected for environmental monitoring. CS projects significantly contribute to large-scale environmental data collection. However, variability in data quality due to factors such as participant training, methodological standards, and technological precision remains a critical challenge (Vohland et al., 2021). Furthermore, CS data often faces skepticism regarding its scientific accuracy compared to traditional datasets generated by professional scientists, necessitating a rigorous evaluation of citizen-collected data to validate its credibility and enhance utility in scientific research (Pocock et al., 2017).

To increase the accuracy and reliability of CS data, various validation mechanisms are employed. Collaborations with scientific communities and experts, along with machine learning-supported analyses, facilitate the identification and rectification of biases in the data (Mandeville et al., 2022). For example, frameworks established by organizations such as the European Environment Agency (EEA) exemplify the implementation of verification protocols, which cross-check CS data against expert-supervised datasets to ascertain their quality (Burgess et al., 2017). Such practices enhance the credibility of citizen science while developing a structured approach to data validation that leverages both human expertise and technological advancements.

Moreover, effective data management adhering to international standards forms the basis for the proper utilization of CS data. Initiatives within frameworks like the Open Data Principles and the INSPIRE Directive promote transparency and standardization in data handling, thereby encouraging data sharing and integration into policy-making processes (West et al., 2021). The seamless integration of CS data into environmental policies, driven by collaborations between entities like the EEA and programs such as the Copernicus Earth Observation Program, facilitates evidence-based decision-making that is more inclusive of diverse stakeholder perspectives (Mitchell et al., 2017). This not only enhances public engagement in scientific research but also broadens the impact of citizen science on environmental conservation efforts.

6. Challenges and Limitations in Ensuring Data Quality

Ensuring data quality in citizen science (CS) projects presents several challenges and limitations that must be addressed for the successful integration of CS data into scientific research. The reliability of CS data hinges on consistent methodological standards, participant competency, and effective data validation processes. Inconsistencies in data collection practices among participants, as well as measurement errors and biased entries, can significantly affect the scientific accuracy of the datasets generated Mitchell et al. (2017). Koch & Stisen (2017) emphasize the negative implications of variable data collection quality and highlight the need for stricter oversight in citizen science projects. Employing advanced methods like machine learning algorithms and expert-supervised cross-validation can mitigate these issues, enhancing the accuracy and integrity of citizen-collected data.

Data quality control mechanisms are essential in overcoming identified limitations. Organizations such as the European Environment Agency (EEA) utilize machine learning algorithms to filter out anomalous readings and improve the credibility of datasets sourced from citizen scientists. The integration of citizen observations with satellite imagery—used in projects like GLOBE Observer and Copernicus—serves as an effective method to validate and confirm environmental changes recorded through citizen science. Moreover, the establishment of participant training through standardized protocols, such as those prescribed by the EU Open Data Standards, is critical for improving data reliability (Keshavan et al., 2019).

Another challenge lies in fostering sustained participant motivation and engagement throughout the duration of CS projects. Education, incentive mechanisms, and community support play vital roles in maintaining long-term involvement among participants (Lewandowski & Oberhauser, 2016). Gamification strategies, social interaction tools, and robust feedback mechanisms have been recommended to enhance participant motivation and create a more vibrant research community (Kuminski et al., 2014). Without adequate engagement, the quality and quantity of data collected may suffer, undermining the potential of CS initiatives (Lewandowski & Oberhauser, 2016).

Legal and ethical considerations also pose significant hurdles in ensuring data quality. Issues regarding data privacy, adherence to open data policies, and rights related to property must be considered diligently. The EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) stipulates that data collected from citizens must be anonymized and used ethically while compliant with privacy regulations (Coughlin et al., 2019). While open data policies can facilitate collaboration and transparency within the scientific community, they necessitate careful management to protect personal data and property rights. Thus, navigating the legal landscape is crucial for the successful implementation and sustainability of citizen science projects.

7. Results and Recommendations

Citizen science (CS) has emerged as a powerful tool in water resource management, offering a participatory approach that complements traditional scientific research. The integration of digital technologies, such as mobile applications, sensor-based monitoring, and GIS mapping, has significantly improved the accuracy, scalability, and accessibility of environmental data collected by citizens. These technologies facilitate real-time monitoring and broad data collection, making it possible to track water quality changes across different geographic locations and over extended periods.

Despite its advantages, challenges persist regarding data accuracy, methodological consistency, and participant engagement. The reliability of CS data is highly dependent on factors such as the training of participants, the quality of measurement tools, and the standardization of data collection protocols. While machine learning algorithms and expert-supervised cross-validation techniques have enhanced data verification, biases and errors remain critical concerns. Moreover, long-term participant engagement is difficult to maintain, necessitating the use of gamification, community support, and incentive mechanisms to sustain motivation.

Legal and ethical considerations, such as data privacy, open data policies, and compliance with GDPR regulations, play a crucial role in the effective implementation of CS projects. Although open data initiatives promote transparency and collaboration, personal data security and intellectual property rights must be carefully managed to ensure ethical and responsible data usage.

To maximize the effectiveness of citizen science in water resource management, standardizing data collection methodologies and validation protocols is crucial to ensure accuracy and consistency. Enhancing digital infrastructure, including mobile applications and real-time monitoring systems, can further improve data quality and accessibility. Providing accessible education and training materials for participants will contribute to more reliable data collection while implementing engagement strategies such as gamification and community-driven initiatives can increase long-term participation. Legal and ethical considerations must also be addressed by ensuring compliance with data protection regulations like GDPR and establishing controlled open data policies. Lastly, integrating CS data into policy frameworks through collaboration between scientists, policymakers, and environmental agencies will enhance the impact of citizen-driven contributions on water resource governance.

To enhance the global impact of citizen science in water resource management, a multi-level governance approach is essential. Policymakers should:

- Develop international data-sharing agreements to standardize citizen-collected data.
- Provide financial incentives and funding schemes for community-led environmental monitoring programs.
- Implement open-access data platforms where citizens, scientists, and governments can collaboratively analyze and use environmental data for decision-making.

8. Conclusion

Citizen science has demonstrated substantial potential in addressing water resource challenges by broadening data collection efforts and increasing public engagement in environmental governance. While digital innovations have improved data accuracy and accessibility, methodological and regulatory hurdles remain. By implementing standardized methodologies, enhancing digital infrastructure, and fostering sustained public participation, CS can play a transformative role in sustainable water management. Future research should focus on improving data validation techniques, exploring novel engagement strategies, and establishing policy frameworks that further integrate CS into mainstream environmental decision-making.

References

1. Arts, K., Kulik, M., & Jansen, J. (2015). Digital technology and the conservation of nature. Ambio, 44(8), 750-762. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-015-0705-1

2. Bonney, R., Catlin, D. H., & White, J. (2016). The theory and practice of citizen science: Launching a new journal. Citizen Science: Theory and Practice, 1(1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.65

3. Brown, P. (2010). Assuming too much? Participatory water resource governance in South Africa. Geographical Journal. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4959.2010.00378.x

4. Burgess, H. K., Caulfield, J. C., & Shirk, J. (2017). The science of citizen science: Exploring barriers to use as a primary research tool. Biological Conservation, 208, 31-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.014

5. Buytaert, W., et al. (2014). Citizen science in hydrology and water resources: Opportunities for knowledge generation, ecosystem service management, and sustainable development. Frontiers in Earth Science. https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2014.00026

6. Carvalho, P., et al. (2023). Unveiling Water Allocation Dynamics: A Text Analysis of 25 Years of Stakeholder Meetings. https://doi.org/10.22541/au.170319495.54669715/v1

7. Ceccaroni, L., et al. (2020). Citclops: A next-generation sensor system for the monitoring of natural waters and a citizens' observatory for the assessment of ecosystems’ status. PLOS ONE, 15(5), e0230084. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230084

8. Cleaver, F., & Toner, A. (2006). The evolution of community water governance in Uchira, Tanzania: The implications for equality of access, sustainability and effectiveness. Natural Resources Forum. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-8947.2006.00115.x

9. Coughlin, S. S., & McCarthy, P. (2019). Classifying the unknown: Discovering novel gravitational-wave detector glitches using similarity learning. Physical Review D, 99(8), Article 082002. https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.99.082002

10. deSouza, C. M., Khamis, I., & Lewis, R. (2017). A Nairobi Experiment in Using Low Cost Air Quality Monitors. Clean Air Journal, 27(2), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.17159/2410-972x/2017/v27n2a6

11. Dickinson, J. L., Zuckerberg, B., & Bonter, D. N. (2010). Citizen science as an ecological research tool: Challenges and benefits. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 41, 149–172. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144636

12. Duvall, R. M., Deger, S. J., & Matz, E. (2016). Performance Evaluation and Community Application of Low-Cost Sensors for Ozone and Nitrogen Dioxide. Sensors, 16(10), 1698. https://doi.org/10.3390/s16101698

13. English, P., Neighbors, D., & Odell, E. (2017). The Imperial County Community Air Monitoring Network: A Model for Community-based Environmental Monitoring for Public Health Action. Environmental Health Perspectives, 125(8), 087014. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp1772

14. Fraisl, D., Klement, M., & Barlow, A. (2020). Mapping citizen science contributions to the UN sustainable development goals. Sustainability Science, 15(2), 531-544. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-020-00833-7

15. Freiwald, J., Houghton, R., & Scott, G. (2018). Citizen science monitoring of marine protected areas: Case studies and recommendations for integration into monitoring programs. Marine Ecology, 39(1), 12430. https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12470

16. Haklay, M., Dörler, D., Heigl, F., Manzoni, M., Hecker, S., & Vohland, K. (2021). What is citizen science? the challenges of definition. The Science of Citizen Science, 13-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58278-4_2

17. Hamamouche, N., et al. (2024). Participatory Video on Groundwater Governance with Youth in the M’zab Valley, Algeria. International Journal of the Commons. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijc.1363

18. Kaufman, M., Ahearn, A., & Palm, R. (2017). A Citizen Science and Government Collaboration: Developing Tools to Facilitate

Community Air Monitoring. Environmental Justice, 10(4), 118-124. https://doi.org/10.1089/env.2016.0044

19. Keshavan, A., & Simonyan, M. (2019). Combining citizen science and deep learning to amplify expertise in neuroimaging. Frontiers in Neuroinformatics, 13, Article 29. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2019.00029

20. Koch, S., & Stisen, S. (2017). Citizen science: A new perspective to advance spatial pattern evaluation in hydrology. Plos One, 12(6), e0178165. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178165

21. Kuminski, E., & Pocock, M. J. O. (2014). Combining human and machine learning for morphological analysis of galaxy images. Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific, 126(951), 1028. https://doi.org/10.1086/678977

22. Lewandowski, E., & Oberhauser, K. (2016). Butterfly citizen science projects support conservation activities among their volunteers. Citizen Science Theory and Practice, 1(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.10

23. Mitchell, N. J., Triska, M. D., Liberatore, A., Ashcroft, L., Weatherill, R., & Longnecker, N. (2017). Benefits and challenges of incorporating citizen science into university education. Plos One, 12(11), e0186285. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186285

24. Morinville, C., & Harris, L. (2014). Participation, politics, and panaceas: Exploring the possibilities and limits of participatory urban water governance in Accra, Ghana. Ecology and Society. https://doi.org/10.5751/es-06623-190336

25. Peter, K., Intarapanich, A., & Ma, Z. (2021). Biodiversity citizen science: Outcomes for the participating citizens. People and Nature, 3(1), 144-158. https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10193

26. Pocock, M. J. O., Tweddle, J. C., Savage, J., Robinson, L. D., & Roy, H. E. (2017). The diversity and evolution of ecological and environmental citizen science. PLOS ONE, 12(4), e0172579. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0172579

27. Reece, J., George, S., & Kulp, S. (2018). Spatial-Temporal Analysis of PM2.5 and NO2 Concentrations Collected Using Low-Cost

Sensors in Peñuelas, Puerto Rico. Sensors, 18(12), 4347. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18124314

28. Roger, E., & Donato, R. (2019). Adopting Citizen Science as a Tool to Enhance Monitoring for an Environment Agency. Citizen Science Theory and Practice, 4(1), 231. https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.231

29. Rutten, M., Minkman, E. & van der Sanden, M. (2017). How to get and keep citizens involved in mobile crowd sensing for water management? A review of key success factors and motivational aspects. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water. https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1218

30. Sintomer, Y., Herzberg, C. & Röcke, A. (2008). Participatory Budgeting in Europe: Potentials and Challenges. International Journal of Urban and Regional Research. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2427.2008.00777.x

31. Tremblay, M., & Harris, L. (2022). Water governance in two urban African contexts: Agency and action through participatory video. Research for All. https://doi.org/10.14324/rfa.06.1.04

32. Vohland, K., & Paul, A. (2021). Editorial: The Science of Citizen Science Evolves. In Citizen Science: Theory and Practice (pp. 1-15). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-58278-4_1

33. Wesseling, J., Ainslie, R., & Timmer, M. (2019). Development and Implementation of a Platform for Public Information on Air Quality, Sensor Measurements, and Citizen Science. Atmosphere, 10(8), 447. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10080445

34. West, S., Dyke, A., & Pateman, R. (2021). Variations in the motivations of environmental citizen scientists. Citizen Science: Theory and Practice, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.370